SLT Calculation, Industrial Training Assessment and Continuous Quality Improvement

Source : Ir Academician Emeritus Professor Tan Sri Dato' Dr. HT Chuah Chairman Standing Committee on Engineering Education Federation of Engineering Institutions of Asia and the Pacific

Presented By

Prof. Dr. Mya Mya Oo, Rector (Retired), Yangon Technological University Executive Fellow, Myanmar Academy of Technology Fellow, ASEAN Academy of Engineering and Technology

26-10-2024 (Saturday)

Federation of Myanmar Engineering Societies

Myanmar Engineering Council SLT Credit calculation according to 2018 EEAC Manual

<u>Formula</u>

No. of week / Semester = 15 Weeks Lecture:IL=1:1, Tutorial/Practical:IL=1:1 SLT Credit = SLT (hr) / 40 hr

Semester 1

Learning time (in hours) and Estimating SLT credit for a course:

No	Course Title	Modules / Topics /	Traditio lea	nal (Guided rning activi	l) in class ities	Total -			
	Course rule	units of study	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical				SLT Credit
			*F2F	F2F	F2F	F2F	Non F2F	SLT	
F2F									
1	M 11001	Myanmar I	30	0	0	30	30	60	1.5
2	E 11001	English I	30	15	0	45	45	90	2.3
3	EM 11001	Calculus	60	15	0	75	75	150	3.8
4	E.Ch 11001	Engineering Chemistry I	75	15	30	120	120	240	6.0
5	E.Ph 11001	Engineering Physics I	60	15	30	105	105	210	5.3
6	ME 11011	Basic Engineering Drawing I	15	0	45	60	60	120	3.0
Total			270.0	60.0	105.0	435.0 435.0 870.0			21.8
Stude	nt learning time (SLT) per wk	18	4	7	29 29 58			

Learning time (in hours) and Estimating SLT credit for a course: _____

No	Course Title	Modules / Topics /	Traditio lea	nal (Guided rning activi	l) in class ities	Total			
		units of study	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical				SLT Credit
			*F2F	F2F	F2F	F2F	Non F2F	SLT	
F2F	-								
1	M 12001	Myanmar II	30	0	0	30.0	30.0	60.0	1.5
2	E 12001	English II	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
3	EM 12002	Calculus	60	15	0	75.0	75.0	150.0	3.8
4	E.Ch 12001	Engineering Chemistry II	75	15	30	120.0	120.0	240.0	6.0
5	E.Ph 12001	Engineering Physics II	60	15	30	105.0	105.0	210.0	5.3
6	ME 12011	Basic Engineering Drawing II	15	0	45	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
Total			270.0	60.0	105.0	435.0 435.0 870.0			21.8
Stude	ent learning time (SLT	18	4	7	29	29	58		

Notes: 1. * F2F = Face-to-Face 2. ** self- learning will include learning from self-learning modules and any additional non F2F hours self-learning and preparation for lecture/ lab, / tutorial and test and evaluation

Semester 3

Learning time (in hours) and Estimating SLT credit for a course:

No	Course Title	Modules / Topics /	Traditio lea	nal (Guided rning activi	l) in class ities	Total			
	Course mue	units of study	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical				SLT Credit
			*F2F	F2F	F2F	F2F	Non F2F	SLT	
F2F		• •							
1	E 21001	English III	30	0	0	30.0	30.0	60.0	1.5
2	EM 21003	Applied Mathematics III	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
3	EcE 21001	Circuit Theory I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
4	EcE 21021	Digital Electronics I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
5	EcE 21011	Microelectronic Devices and Circuits I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
6	EcE 21014	Technical Programming I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
7	Me 21015	Engineering Mechanics I	45	15	0	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
8	ME 21012	Workshop Technology	30	0	15	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
Total			255.0	90.0	75.0	420.0	420.0	840.0	21.0
Stude	nt learning time (SLT) per wk	17.0	6.0	5.0	28.0	28.0	56.0	

Learning time (in hours) and Estimating SLT credit for a course: _____

No	Course Title	Modules / Topics /	Traditio lea	nal (Guided rning activi	l) in class ities	Total			
		units of study	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical				SLT Credit
			*F2F	F2F	F2F	F2F	Non F2F	SLT	
F2F									
2	E 22001	English IV	30	0	0	30.0	30.0	60.0	1.5
2	EM 22004	Engineering Mathematics IV	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
3	EcE 22001	Circuit Theory II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
4	EcE 22021	Digital Electronics II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
5	EcE 22011	Microelectronic Devices and Circuits II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
6	EcE 22014	Technical Programming II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
7	ME 22015	Engineering Mechanics II	30	0	15	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
Total			210.0	75.0	75.0	360.0	360.0	720.0	18.0
Student learning time (SLT) per wk			14.0	5.0	5.0	24.0	24.0	48.0	

Notes: 1. * F2F = Face-to-Face 2. ** self- learning will include learning from self-learning modules and any additional non F2F hours self-learning and preparation for lecture/ lab, / tutorial and test and evaluation

Semester 5

Learning time (in hours) and Estimating SLT credit for a course: _____

No	Course Title	Modules / Topics /	Traditio lea	nal (Guided rning activi	l) in class ities	Total			
	Course Thie	units of study	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical				SLT Credit
			*F2F	F2F	F2F	F2F	Non F2F	SLT	
F2F			I	I			1		1
1	EM 31005	Applied Mathematics V	60	15	0	75.0	75.0	150.0	3.8
2	EcE 31001	Engineering Circuit Analysis I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
3	EcE 31002	Analog & Digital Communication I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
4	EcE 31011	Engineering Electromagnetic I	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
5	EcE 31021	Integrated Electronics I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
6	EcE 31005	Signal and Systems I	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
7	Met 31043	Engineering Materials I	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
Total			240.0	105.0	45.0	390.0	390.0	780.0	19.5
Stude	nt learning time (SLT) per wk	16.0	7.0	3.0	26.0	26.0	52.0	

Learning time (in hours) and Estimating SLT credit for a course: _____

No	Course Title	Modules / Topics /	Traditio lea	nal (Guided rning activi	l) in class ities	Total			
		units of study	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical				SLT Credit
			*F2F	F2F	F2F	F2F	Non F2F	SLT	
F2F		-							
1	EM 32006	Applied Mathematics VI	60	15	0	75.0	75.0	150.0	3.8
2	EcE 32001	Engineering Circuit Analysis II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
3	EcE 32002	Analog & Digital Communication II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
4	EcE 32011	Engineering Electromagnetic II	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
5	EcE 32021	Integrated Electronics II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
6	EcE 32005	Signal and Systems II	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
7	Met 32043	Engineering Materials II	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
Total			240.0	105.0	45.0	390.0	390.0	780.0	19.5
Student learning time (SLT) per wk			16.0	7.0	3.0	26.0	26.0	52.0	

Notes: 1. * F2F = Face-to-Face 2. ** self- learning will include learning from self-learning modules and any additional non F2F hours self-learning and preparation for lecture/ lab, / tutorial and test and evaluation

Semester 7

Learning time (in hours) and Estimating SLT credit for a course:

No	Course Title	Modules / Topics /	Traditio lea	nal (Guided rning activi	l) in class ities	Total			
		units of study	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical				SLT Credit
			*F2F	F2F	F2F	F2F	Non F2F	SLT	
F2F			1	I	•		1		1
1	HSS 41011	Humanities and Social Sciences I	45	0	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
2	EM 41007	Applied Mathematics VII	60	15	0	75.0	75.0	150.0	3.8
3	EcE 41003	Linear System 1	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
4	EcE 41012	Telecommunication	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
5	EcE 41021	Digital Design with HDL	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
6	EcE 41022	Wireless Communication Engineering I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
7	EcE 41031	Power Electronics I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
Total			255.0	90.0	75.0	420.0	420.0	840.0	21.0
Stude	ent learning time (SLT) per wk	17.0	6.0	5.0	28.0 28.0 56.0			

Learning time (in hours) and Estimating SLT credit for a course: _____

No	Course Title	Modules / Topics /	Traditio lea	nal (Guided rning activi	l) in class ities	Total			
		units of study	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical				SLT Credit
			*F2F	F2F	F2F	F2F	Non F2F	SLT	
F2F									
1	HSS 42008	Humanities and Social Sciences II	45	0	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
2	EM 42015	Applied Mathematics VIII	60	15	0	75.0	75.0	150.0	3.8
3	EcE 42002	Computer Communication	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
4	EcE 42003	Linear System II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
5	EcE 42004	Microprocessor System	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
6	EcE 42022	Wireless Communication Engineering II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
7	EcE 42031	Power Electronics II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
Total			255.0	90.0	75.0	420.0 420.0 840.0			21.0
Student learning time (SLT) per wk			17.0	6.0	5.0	28.0	28.0	56.0	

Notes: 1. * F2F = Face-to-Face 2. ** self- learning will include learning from self-learning modules and any additional non F2F hours self-learning and preparation for lecture/ lab, / tutorial and test and evaluation

Semester 9

Learning time (in hours) and Estimating SLT credit for a course:

No	Course Title	Modules / Topics /	Traditio lea	nal (Guided rning activi	l) in class ities	Total			
		units of study	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical				SLT Credit
			*F2F	F2F	F2F	F2F	Non F2F	SLT	
F2F									
1	E 51001	English V	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
2	EcE 51001	Advanced Electronics I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
3	EcE 51004	Computer Architecture and Engineering I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
4	EcE 51002	Modern Communication System I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
5	EcE 51003	Automatic Control System I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
6	EcE 51005	Digital Signal Processing I	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
7	EcE 51016	Engineering Management I	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
Total			210.0	105.0	75.0	390.0	390.0	780.0	19.5
Stude	nt learning time (SLT) per wk	14.0	7.0	5.0	26.0 26.0 52.0			

Learning time (in hours) and Estimating SLT credit for a course: _____

No	Course Title	Modules / Topics /	Traditio lea	nal (Guided rning activi	l) in class ities	Total			
	Course mue	units of study	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical				SLT Credit
			*F2F	F2F	F2F	F2F	Non F2F	SLT	
F2F									
1	E 52001	English VI	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
2	EcE 52001	Advanced Electronics II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
3	EcE 52004	Computer Architecture and Engineering II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
4	EcE 52002	Modern Communication Systems II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
5	EcE 52003	Automatic Control System II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
6	EcE 52005	Digital Signal Processing II	30	15	15	60.0	60.0	120.0	3.0
7	EcE 52016	Engineering Management II	30	15	0	45.0	45.0	90.0	2.3
Total			210.0	105.0	75.0	390.0	390.0	780.0	19.5
Stude	nt learning time (SLT	') per wk	14.0	7.0	5.0	26.0 26.0 52.0			

Notes: 1. * F2F = Face-to-Face 2. ** self- learning will include learning from self-learning modules and any additional non F2F hours self-learning and preparation for lecture/ lab, / tutorial and test and evaluation

Semester 11

Learning time (in hours) and Estimating SLT credit for a course:

No	Course Title	Modules / Topics /	Traditio lea	nal (Guided rning activi	l) in class ities		Total		
	course rule	units of study	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical				SLT Credit
			*F2F	F2F	F2F	F2F	Non F2F	SLT	
F2F									
1		GRADUATION PROJECT/ RESEARCH REPORT							
Total									9.0
Stude	nt learning time (SLT) per wk							

Learning time (in hours) and Estimating SLT credit for a course: _____

No	Course Title	Modules / Topics /	Traditio lea	nal (Guided arning activi	l) in class ities	Total			
	Course rule	units of study	Lecture	Tutorial	Practical				SLT Credit
			*F2F	F2F	F2F	F2F	Non F2F	SLT	
F2F									
1	ATTACHMENT PRO Construction Site / Ind diary, report and recon Attachment Program (a exam.If not satisfactory program until his/her v student will not be con will be assigned to this								
Total									6.0
Student learning time (SLT) per wk									

Notes: 1. * F2F = Face-to-Face 2. ** self- learning will include learning from self-learning modules and any additional non F2F hours self-learning and preparation for lecture/ lab, / tutorial and test and evaluation

Year	Semester	SLT / Week (hrs)	SLT Credit
D E Voor I	Semester 1		21.8
D.E Tear I	Semester 2		21.8
D E Voor II	Semester 3		21.0
B.E Year II	Semester 4		18.0
D E Voor III	Semester 5		19.5
D.E Tear III	Semester 6		19.5
	Semester 7		21.0
D.E Tear IV	Semester 8		21.0
D E Voor V	Semester 9		19.5
D.E Tear V	Semester 10		19.5
P E Voor VI	Semester 11		
D.E Teat VI	Semester 12		
	Sub Total		202.5
	Final Year Project		9.0
	Industrial Training		6.0
	Grand Total		217.5

<u>Remarks</u>

According to the EEAC Manual 2018

Final Year Project = minimum of 6 SLT credits and maximum of 12 SLT credits

Industrial Training = maximum of 6 SLT credits



Example on Industrial Training Assessment

Course Outcomes:

- CO1: apply knowledge of science/engineering fundamentals
- CO2: apply technical skills and modern tools in work place/engineering practice
- CO3: comply with the rules and guidelines relevant to professional/professional engineering practice
- CO4: follow code of ethics and standards of professional conduct
- CO5: demonstrate written and oral communication skills
- CO6: demonstrate the ability to work independently and as part of a team
- CO7: demonstrate life-long learning and self-improvement





Example on Industrial Training Assessment

Assessment	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6	CO7	Total Mark s
Report & Log Book								45
Oral Presentation								10
Appraisal by Industrial Supervisor								45
CO Percentaage (%)								100





Example on Industrial Training Assessment (Cont'd)

A. Report & Log Book:

Category	Max Mark	Criterion	СО
Details of Industrial	10	 Apply knowledge of science/engineering fundamentals 	CO1
training experience	10	2. Apply technical skills and modern tools in work place/engineering practice	CO2
Presentation of Report	10	1. Logical and coherent report layout and content follow the format of IT guideline and template. Legible report with proper grammar and terms.	CO5
Log Dools	10	1. Demonstrate life-long learning and self- improvement	CO7
LUG DUUK	5	2. Quality and Usage of Logbook in recording works and events	CO5





Example on Industrial Training Assessment (Cont'd)

B. Oral Presentation:

Category	Max Mark	Criterion	
	5	1. Presentation skill and style such as clarity and logical flow, eye contact and effectively use of visual aid.	CO5
Oral Presentation	5	2. Presentation content on background introduction, working experience, discussions and conclusions.	CO5
	5	3. Q & A on the ability to answer questions.	CO5

Final Scale of 10 Marks





Example on Industrial Training Assessment (Cont'd)

C. Industrial Supervisor Appraisal:

Category	Max Mark	Criterion					
	5	1.Apply knowledge of science/engineering fundamentals.	CO1				
	5	2.Apply technical skills and modern tools in work place/engineering practice	CO2				
Appraisal	5	3. Comply with the rules and guidelines that are relevant to professional/professional engineering practice.					
by Industrial	5	4. Follow code of ethics and standards of professional conduct.	CO4				
Supervisor	5	5. Demonstrate written and oral communication skills.	CO5				
	10	6. Demonstrate the ability to work independently and as part of a team.	CO6				
	10	7. Demonstrate life-long learning and self-improvement	CO7				
Total Max Mark	45						







Final Year Project (FYP)

- Pre-requisite: at least 90 credit hours, completed at least 6 long trimesters
- 8 credit hours, 2 long trimesters (Part 1 and Part 2)
- Stakeholders involved:
 - ≻Student
 - Supervisor & Co-supervisor : to supervise and assess student's performance
 - ➤ 3rd Academic Staff: as moderator to assess student's performance through report and presentation
 - FYP Coordinator : to monitor and assist student Industry: to provide co-supervision, poster judging





Expected Outcomes of FYP

CO1: Apply knowledge of engineering fundamentals in complex problem solving

CO2: Analyze complex engineering problems with suitable problem identification

CO3: Propose solution for complex engineering problems

CO4: Investigate complex engineering problems with appropriate conclusions

CO5: Comply with ethical guidelines

CO6: Demonstrate oral and written communication skills

CO7: Involve in activities stimulating lifelong learning

CO8: Demonstrate competence in managing engineering projects

PO1: Engineering Knowledge

PO2: Problem Analysis

PO3: Design/Development of Solutions

PO4: Investigation

PO8: Ethics

PO9: Communication

PO11: Life-long Learning

PO12: Project Management and Finance





FYPAssessment

Assessment	Weight	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6	CO7	CO8
Progress report	15%	20%	16%	8%		8%	24%	12%	12%
Oral Presentation 1	5%						100%		
General Effort 1	5%		24%			16%		32%	28%
Final Report	45%	4%	4%	16%	28%	12%	24%	8%	4%
Oral Presentation 2 / Demonstration	15%			32%			68%		
General Effort 2	10%		20%	28%		12%		24%	16%
Poster Submission	5%							100%	



Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman Lee Kong Chian Faculty of Engineering and Science Final Year Project

MARKS DISTRIBUTION

Supervisor/Moderator Allocation

Assessments	Supervisor*		Moderator 1		TOTAL		
Progress Report	15	100%			15%	r 1	
Oral Presentation 1	1.05	21%	3.95	79%	5%	neste	25%
General Effort 1*	5	100%			5%	Trir	
Final Report	10.8	24%	34.2	76%	45%		
Oral Presentation 2 / Demonstration	3.15	21%	11.85	79%	15%	ster 2	750/
General Effort 2*	10 10	100%			10%	Lrime	13%
Poster Submission*	5	100%			5%		
% Contribution	5	0*	50		100%		
					50		
Project Reports	60%						
Presentations	25%						
General Effort	15%						
	100%						

*Co-Supervisor Assessment - Poster Submission and 50% of General Effort 1 and 2 are marked by the co-supervisor (if applicable)

Course Outcome Distribution

Assessments	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6	C07	CO8	TOTAL		
Progress Report		2.4%	1.2%		1.2%	3.6%	1.8%	1.8%	15%	r.1	
Oral Presentation 1						5.0%			5%	neste	25%
General Effort 1		1.2%			0.8%		1.6%	1.4%	5%	Ţ	
Final Report	1.8%	1.8%	7.2%	12.6%	5.4%	10.8%	3.6%	1.8%	45%		
Oral Presentation 2 / Demonstration			4.8%			10.2%			15%	ster 2	750/
General Effort 2		2.0%	2.8%		1.2%		2.4%	1.6%	10%	rime	73%
Poster Submission							5.0%		5%	[
% Contribution	4.8%	7.4%	16.0%	12.6%	8.6%	29.6%	14.4%	6.6%	100%		-





FYP Assessment: **Progress** report

15				Progress Rep	ort	
16		Maximum Marks*		Criteria	Supervisor Assessment (0 to 5)	Supervisor Marks*
17	tion		4	Identification of the problem		0
18	oduc	16	8	Definition of aims and objectives		0
19	Intro		4	Overview of project		0
20			12	Review of research topic		0
21	ature riew	40	12	Analytical and Critical thinking		0
22	Rev	40	12	Structure and coherence of literature review		0
23			12	References		0
24	ology ect ment		8	Methodology of the project**		0
25	Methodo & Proj Manage	20	12	Planning and managing of project activities		0
26	oort ality	16	8	Language: grammar and style		0
27	Re Qué	10	8	Citation of various sources		0
28						0

- 11 criteria mapped to 7 different COs (1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, & 8)
- Assessed by the Supervisor





FYP Assessment: Oral Presentation Part 1

32										
33				Oral Presentation	Part 1					
34		Maximum Marks*		Maximum Marks*		Criteria	Supervisor Assessment (0 to 5)	Supervisor Marks*	Moderator Assessment (0 to 5)	Moderator Marks*
35	itation Style	52	20	Structure and attractiveness of presentation		0		0		
36	esen kill 8	JZ	JZ	16	Preparedness and confidence		0		0	
37	P		16	Use of presentation tools and visual aids		0		0		
38	٤A	40	24	Quality of provided answers		0		0		
39	õ	48	24	Attitude and composure		0		0		
40						0		0		
41										

- 5 criteria mapped to CO6
- Assessed by the Supervisor and Moderator





FYP Assessment: General Effort Part 1

15		General Effort P			
16	Maximum Marks*	Criteria	Supervisor Assessment (0 to 5)	Co-Supervisor Assessment (0 to 5)**	Combined Marks*
17	24	Problem identification and analysis			0
18	28	Selection and implementation of research methodology and management			0
19	16	Compliance with FYP policies/guidelines and ethical norms			0
20	16	Discipline and regular reporting			0
21	16	Personal development/life long learning activities			0
22					0

• 5 criteria mapped to CO2, 5, 7, 8

• Assessed by the Supervisor and Co-Supervisor (if any)



FYPAssessment: Final report



15				Final Repor	t			
16	Maximun Marks*			Criteria	Supervisor Assessment (0 to 5)	Supervisor Marks*	Moderator Assessment (0 to 5)	Moderator Marks*
17	Abs- trac t	4	4	Overview of the project		0		0
18	Intro- ductio n	4	4	Problem identification and formulation of project aims and objectives		0		0
19			4	Review of research topic		0		0
20	iew	10	4	Critical Investigation		0		0
21	Litera Rev	10	4	Structure and coherence of literature review		0		0
22	-		4	References		0		0
23	& It		4	Methodology of the project**		0		0
24	lology oject gemen	16	4	Planning and managing of project activities		0		0
25	Metho Pr Mana		8	Problem solving		0		0
26			12	Analysis and interpretation of results		0		0
27	lts & sions		12	Solution to a complex problem		0		0
28	Resu	44	12	Presentation and explanation of results		0		0
29			8	Reproducibility/reliability of results		0		0
30	cion & men- ons	0	4	Address research question and achievement of aims and objectives		0		0
31	Conclus Recomi datio		4	Analysis of limitations and recommendations for future development		0		0
32	ort	0	4	Language: grammar and style		0		0
33	Rep Qua	ð	4	Citation of various sources		0		0
34						0		0

• 17 criteria mapped to 8 CO's; Assessed by the Supervisor and Moderator





FYP Assessment: Oral Presentation Part 2

39	Oral Presentation Part 2								
40	Maximum Marks*		mum 'ks*	Criteria	Supervisor Assessment (0 to 5)	Supervisor Marks*	Moderator Assessment (0 to 5)	Moderator Marks*	
41	lation Style			12	Structure and attractiveness of presentation		0		0
42	sent 36		12	Preparedness and confidence		0		0	
43	Pre Sk		12	Use of presentation tools and visual aids		0		0	
44	nical tent / stration		20	16	Problem solution(s)***		0		0
45	Tech Cont Demon	32	16	Demonstration of problem solution(s)***		0		0	
46	۶A	20	16	Quality of provided answers		0		0	
47	7 32 16 Attitude and composure			0		0			
48						0		0	

- 7 criteria mapped to CO3, and CO6
- Assessed by the Supervisor and Moderator





FYP Assessment: General Effort

20											
27		General Effort Part 2									
28	Maximum Marks*	Criteria	Supervisor Assessment (0 to 5)	Co-Supervisor Assessment (0 to 5)**	Combined Marks*						
29	28	Proposal of solution to a complex problem			0						
30	16	Selection and implementation of research methodology and management			0						
31	20	Problem identification and analysis			0						
32	12	Compliance with FYP policies/guidelines and ethical norms			0						
33	12	Discipline and regular reporting			0						
34	12	Personal development/life long learning activities			0						
35					0						

- 6 criteria mapped to CO2, 5, 3, 7, & 8
- Assessed by the Supervisor and Co-supervisor



FYP Assessment: Poster Submission



		0			5	IX.		111	11
30	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Weak	Poor	Weight	Marks	Review Panel Adiustment
31		Overal	Poster De	esign/Appe	arance				
32	 The poster is attractive in terms of design, layout, and neatness. 						10	0	
33	Graphics (e.g. tables, figures, etc.) are engaging and enhance the text.						4	0	
34	3. Uses font sizes/variations which facilitate the organization, presentation, and readability of the research.						6	0	
35	 Overall visually appealing, not cluttered; colours and patterns enhance readability. 						10	0	
36		Overa	ll Poster T	echnical Co	ontent				
37	5. Title highlights the poster's subject matter.						6	0	
38	6. Abstract provides a clear overview.						8	0	
39	Objective(s) explained clearly.						6	0	
40	8. Method clearly stated.						10	0	
41	 The intended information is conveyed through the appropriate use of equations, tables, graphics, and visuals. 						8	0	
42	10. Content is clearly arranged so that the viewer can understand order without narration.						6	0	
43		Mista	kes and L	anguage U	sage				
44	11. There are no mistakes on the poster.						10	0	
45	12. The grammar is fine.						8	0	
46	13. No obvious spelling mistakes.						8	0	
47						Total	(100%)	0	0

• 13 criteria mapped to CO7; Assessed by Supervisor and Co-Supervisor



CO Attainment Report Reports

Campus	:	Sungai Long
Faculty	:	LEE KONG CHIAN FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE
Level	:	Bachelor
Trimester	:	202005
Programme	:	All
Course	:	UEGE4116 - PROJECT

AVERAGE OF CO/CLO ACHIEVEMENT



• COs Achievement by a particular student is shown

• Overall Performance of All Students taking FYP is also provided





Continual Quality Improvement (CQI)

Source: Ir. Professor Academician Dato' Dr. HT Chuah Chairman Standing Committee on Engineering Education FEIAP





Some Current Issues for Educators

- OBE concept is quite new to most universities
- The main focus to impart, assess, and evaluate only technical outcomes – therefore less well-rounded graduates.
- Some non-technical outcomes assessed and evaluated formally only in design subjects and industrial training and non-engineering subjects.
- Curriculum not designed to prepare students and graduates towards achieving the outcomes (POs) and education objectives (PEOs) of programme.





Some Current Issues for Educators (cont'd)

- Students not informed of the levels of achievement of non-technical outcomes
- Programme normally reviewed based on a fiveyear cycle
 - CQI not implemented

No documented evidence on the processes of measuring, assessing and evaluating the degree of achievement of the graduate outcomes

OBE – The CQI (Model)



CQI CYCLES



CQI REPORTING TEMPLATE

SESSION						
SEM / SESSION :						
COURSE CODE :		COURSE NAI	ME:		COURSE OWNER	:
ISSUE / COMMENTS (STUDENT ACHIEVEME	ON ENT	SUGGESTION FOR CQI		SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
				NAME: (Course Owner) SIGNATURE	NAME: (Course Owner) SIGNATURE	NAME: (HoD / TDA) SIGNATURE
		•		DATE	DATE	DATE
CURRENT SEMESTER / SESSION SEM / SESSION :]./					
COURSE CODE :	COURSE NAM	1E :		COURSE OWNER	:	
CQI ACTION TAKEN	STUDENT ACHIEVEN IMPROVEN RESULT	IENT / /ENT	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER CQI MEASURE	SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
				NAME: (Course Owner)	NAME: (Course Owner)	NAME: (HoD / TDA)
				SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
				DATE	DATE	DATE

PREVIOUS SEMESTER /

CQI REPORTING TEMPLATE

CURRENT SEMESTER / SESSION

SEM / SESSION :					
COURSE CODE :	COURSE NAME :		COURSE OWNER	:	
CQI ACTION TAKEN	STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT / IMPROVEMENT RESULT	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER CQI MEASURE	SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
	<i>i</i>		NAME: (Course Owner)	NAME: (Course Owner)	NAME: (HoD / TDA)
	, · · · ·		SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
			DATE	DATE	DATE

NEXT SEMESTER /

SESSION

SEM / SESSION :					
COURSE CODE :	COURSE NAME :		COURSE OWNER	:	
CQI ACTION TAKEN	STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT / IMPROVEMENT RESULT	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER CQI MEASURE	SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
			NAME: (Course Owner)	NAME: (Course Owner)	NAME: (HoD / TDA)
			SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
			DATE	DATE	DATE

CQI REPORTING SAMPLE

PREVIOUS SEMESTER / SESSION

(Half Cycle)

SEM / SESSION : II 2011-2012

COURSE CODE : SMK 4542	COURSE NAME: SHIP DESIGN	COURSE OWNER: YAHYA SAMIAN		
ISSUE / COMMENTS / REFLECTION ON STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT	SUGGESTION FOR CQI	SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
The students, in general are quite good in performing and delivering the design job if they are coached properly. They can work in team effectively if you give the opportunity. The only worrying me	(1)For <i>Critical Thinking</i> : Need to use PBL approach in class and coach them to think critically giving small critical thinking exercises in class.	NAME: YAHYA SAMIAN	NAME: YAHYA SAMIAN	NAME: KJAAS
is their <i>lack of ability in Critical</i> <i>thinking (PO 4) and life long</i> <i>learning (PO 10)</i> . These two skills are essential to make them a better employee / person in future. I need to create ways of enhancing these abilities in future.	(2)For <i>Life Long Learning</i> : Coach the students to refer to various literature resources to solve their design tasks and acknowledge the sources appropriately	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE

CQI REPORTING SAMPLE

CURRENT SEMESTER / SESSION

(Full Cycle)

SEM / SESSION :

COURSE CODE :	COURSE NAME :	COURSE OWNER :			
CQI ACTION TAKEN	STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT / IMPROVEMENT RESULT	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER CQI MEASURE	SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
 (1) Using PBL approach in Design Project to Improve Critical Thinking Only for the third Design Task 	Some improvement on critical thinking (based on selection of design methods and analysis discussed in report)	Need more exposure on critical thinking project / assignments. Need variety of Assessment method	NAME: Yahya Samian	NAME: Yahya Samian	NAME: KJAAS
(2) Using various literature resources to solve design problems (Not merely from class note) to enhance Life Long Learning Ability	Great improvement, Student were able to outsource beyond my expectation on materials that directly assist their design task.	To be maintained in future	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
			DATE	DATE	DATE

CQI REPORTING SAMPLE

NEXT SEMESTER / SESSION

	-				
SEM / SESSION :					
COURSE CODE :	COURSE NAME :		COURSE OWN	ER :	
CQI ACTION TAKEN	STUDENT ACHIEVEMEN T/ IMPROVEMEN T RESULT	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER CQI MEASURE	SUGGESTED BY	ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY	ENDORSED BY
Need more exposure on critical thinking project / assignments.	Majority of students show good	To be maintained wherever possible in future (Poster Presentation need	NAME: Yahya Samian	NAME: Yahya Samian	NAME: KJAAS
Assessment method - Using Poster Presentation Approach	improvement	rigorous planning)	DATE	DATE	DATE

CQI ACTIONS

CASE	CAUSE OF POOR PERFORMANC E	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT S	PERSON RESPONSIB LE	POSSIBLE CQI ACTION TO BE CARRIED OUT	MONITORING
1	Inappropriate or misalignment of T&L delivery or Assessment	Improve T & L or Assessment Methods	Same Lecturer	Self Reflection and Improvement	Self Monitoring
2	Students Poor Achievements (on certain learning outcomes / skills)	Students need to improve	Lecturers Teaching the same Bath at the following semester	Suggest improvement (Via HoD) action to be taken by the incomming lecturers	TD(A), HoD, Head of Panel, PA
3	Lack of understading of previous (or Pre- requisite) Courses	Improvement of T&L Delivery or Assessment Methods	Lecturers teaching the pre- requisite courses	Forward suggestion (Via HoD) to the lecturer teaching pre-requsites courses	TD(A), HoD, Head of Panel, PA
4	Poor Students Intake	Improve intake / Additional Measures	Faculty, University	Inform Faculty Management	Faculty
5	Poor Infrastructures (Facilities, Time Table, etc)	Improvements by Faculty ? University	Faculty, University	Inform Faculty Management	Faculty







THANK YOU

FOR LISTENING